THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF MA	C'1) == =
IDA PAVLICHENKO, 5 104	129 RGS 1 P 2: 54
V.) CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.
THOMAS RIDGE, as Secretary of the Department Homeland Security; EDUARDO AGUIRRE, as Acting Director of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services; DENIS C. RIORDAN, District Director of the Bureau of Citizenship & Immigration Services for Boston, Massachusetts Defendants	RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$ 79 625/8 SUMMONS ISSUED YO LOCAL RULE 4.1 WAIVER FORM MCF ISSUED BY DPTY. CLK. YOU DATE 3 8 05
<u>COMPLAINT FOR NATURALIZATIO</u>	ON PURSUANT TO 8 U.S.C. 1447(b)

NOW comes Ida Pavlichenko, plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, and hereby states as follows:

1. This action is brought against the Defendants to request that the District Court take jurisdiction of the plaintiff's application pursuant to Sec. 336(b) of the Immigration & Nationality Act [8 U.S.C. 1447(b)] which states if the Department of Homeland Security and the U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services (formerly the Immigration & Naturalization Service of the Department of Justice) do not render a decision on a naturalization application within 120 days after the date on which the examination is conducted under such section, the applicant may apply to the United States district court for the district and such court has jurisdiction over the matter and may either determine the matter or remand the matter, with appropriate instructions.

PARTIES

- 2. The Plaintiff, Ida Pavlichenko, a resident of Massachusetts, is native and citizen of Russia and a legal permanent resident of the United States, who filed an application for naturalization that was not answered by U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services (USCIS) formerly the Immigration & Naturalization Service for more than 120 days.
- 3. The Defendant, Thomas Ridge, is the Secretary of the Department Homeland Security, and this action is brought against him in his official capacity. He is generally charged with enforcement of the Immigration and Nationality Act, and is further authorized to delegate such powers and authority to subordinate employees of the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Justice. 8 USC §1103(a). More specifically, the Secretary of the Department Homeland Security is responsible for the adjudication of applications for naturalization filed pursuant to the Immigration & Nationality Act (INA). The U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services is an agency within the Department of

Homeland Security to whom the authority has in part been delegated, and is subject to the Secretary of the Department Homeland Security's supervision.

- 4. The Defendant, Eduardo Aguirre, Director is an official of the U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services (USCIS) generally charged with supervisory authority over all operations of the USCIS. As will be shown, the Defendant Director is the official under whose supervision and control the Plaintiff's application for naturalization was adjudicated.
- 5. The Defendant, Denis C. Riordan, District Director is an official of the U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services (USCIS) generally charged with supervisory authority over all operations of the USCIS within his District with certain specific exceptions not relevant here. 8 CFR §103.1(g)(2)(ii)(B). As will be shown, Defendant District Director is the official whose office has been processing various parts of the Plaintiff's application for naturalization that was properly filed.

JURISDICTION

6. Jurisdiction in this case is proper under INA Section 310(c). According to the said statute judicial review is available to the plaintiff whose application for naturalization, after an examination is before an immigration officer under INA Section 336(b), this court may either determine the matter or remand the matter, with appropriate instructions.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this court, pursuant to 28 USC §1391(e), in that this is an action against officers and agencies of the United States in their official capacities, brought in the District where a Defendant is located and performs his official duties.

CAUSE OF ACTION De Novo Review of Application for Naturalization

- 8. On or about September 2, 2004 the Plaintiff was examined in connection with her application for naturalization (Form N-400) and the defendants have not rendered a decision on his application to date.
- 9. At the time of the Plaintiff's interview on September 2, 2004, the immigration officer presented the Plaintiff with a record that indicates that she successfully completed the English and U.S. History portions of the examination and that a written decision would be forwarded to her.
- 10. To date, despite the expiration of the 120 day period of review, the government has not rendered a decision in connection with the Plaintiff's application.
- 11. The Plaintiff appeared in person before the USCIS Office in Boston on or about September 16, 2004 to inquire about the status of her file and was informed that it may be more than 2 years for her application to be decided.

12. In addition the Plaintiff had previously filed an application for naturalization on or about April 12, 2002 for which she had been interviewed on December 12, 2002. At that time she passed the English and American History portions of the examination but did not received an answer, despite repeated contacts with the Immigration & Naturalization Service, the former USCIS agency.

PRAYER

- 13. WHEREFORE, in view of the arguments and authority noted herein, Plaintiff respectfully prays that the Defendants be cited to appear herein and that, upon due consideration, the Court:
 - (a) Conduct a hearing de novo on the application;
 - (b) Grant the Plaintiff's request for Naturalization as a U.S. Citizen; and
 - (c) Granting such other relief at law and in equity as justice may require.

The Plaintiff, By her Attorneys,

Desmond P. FitzGerald
FitzGerald & Company, LLC
18 Tremont Street, Suite 210
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
Telephone (617) 523-6320
Facsimile (617) 523-6324
dfitzgerald@fitzgeraldlawcompany.com
Bar No. 461613

į

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

2.	- varietit)	ygy jys taskie	th the case helands be	sad linon the	numbered na	ture of	sult code i	isted r	n the clv	il cover she	et. (See	
		Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of sult code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).										
		l.	160, 410, 470, R.23,	REGARDLES	S OF NATURE	OF SL	IIT.					,
	X	11.	195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820*							•	0 or AO 121 or copyright cas	ės
	-	iir	110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891.	151, 190, 21 345, 350, 35	0, 230, 240, 24	5, 290,	310,		•		o R	`S
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865,			0, 640,	650, 660,	. 		12	OW	
٠	apagan and	٧.	150, 152, 153.			C	15	7		-		
3.			; if any, of related case se indicate the title and							se has beer		
						,		-		<u>}</u>		
4.	Hasan	rior actio	n between the same p	arties and ba	sed on the san	ne clair	n ever bee	n filed	in this co	ourt?		
٠٠.	пазар	not actio	n batwaan the same p				YES		NO	\mathbf{x}		
5.,			int in this case questio	n the constitu	itionality of an	act of		affactir		olic interest	? (See	
	28 USC	§2403)								· []		
	lf so ls	the IIS A	. or an officer, agent o	r amplovae of	the U.S. a nar	tv?	YES	<u> </u>	, NO	LxI		
	11 50, 13		, or all officer, agent of	Diffinoyed of	1110 (3.12. to jaw)	•, •	YES	X	NO			
										20 1160 522	0.40	
6.	is this c	ase requi	red to be heard and de	termined by :	a district court	or thre	ee judges p	ICITATIA	NO	28 030 922	047	
7.			es in this action, excli governmental agencie				side in the	same				
							YES		NO			
		A.	If yes, in which divis	aion do <u>all</u> of			al parties r	eside?			[***]	
		i.	Eastern Division	LXI	Central Div	Ision	1		Western	Division	<u> </u>	
		8.	lf no, in which divisi agencies, residing			aintiffs	or the only	y partie	es, exclud	ling govern	mental	,
			Eastern Division		Contral Dlv	iston			Western	Division		
g.			f Removal - arc there a arale sheet identifying			itate co	urt requirir	ng the	attention	of this Cou	rt? (If	
	•			. "			YES		МО			
(PLEAS	SE TYPE O	R PRINT)			i'							
ATTOR	NEY'S NA	ME	Desmond P	. Fitzo	Serald	1.0	<i>II</i>)		Chana		1+5 210	Doot - 242
LBBBB	ss		zGerald & C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LLC.	-18	Tremo	nτ 	stree	ec, su	11E 210,	Boston,MA 02108
ADDRE			(617) 523-									117.1110

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	J. (a) PLAINTIFFS	Ida Pavlichenko	DEFENDANT	SThomas Ridge	,Secretary of the
	(b) County of Residence of (EX	of First Listed Plaintiff Essex (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	Aguirre, E IMM; Grate District	Director of U.	Security; Eduard S.Citizenship and enis C. Riordan, SONKY USCIS USETHELOCATION OF THE
Des 18	(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Asmond P. FitzGer Tremont Street,	Address and Telephone Number) ald, FitzGerald &Compa suite 210, Boston, MA	Attorneys (If Known LLC)		·
	II. BASIS OF JURISDIC			PRINCIPAL BARERS	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
	U.S. Government , Plaintiff	O 3 Pederal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(1 or Diversity Cases Only	DTF DEF O 1 O 1 Incorporated or of Business In T	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place
	X U.S. Government Defendant	i 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Cilizen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nution	Д € Д 6
	IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)			
j	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreelosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property ☐	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Rederal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability	620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lang (923) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportlomment 410 Antitrust 430 Bunks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketter Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Salective Sention
-	Original 2 Remove Proceeding State C	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are f	Reprened (appoil	erred from D6 Multidistrict Litigation	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
. 1	VI. CAUSE OF ACTION				
7	/II. REQUESTED IN	Brief description of cause: The Defer	quiment have not	curck years and a	the plaintiff's
<u> </u>	COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		JURY DEMAND:	☐ Yes ☐ No
	'III. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
D,	March 3,	2005 SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	HILY OF RECORD		
FO	OR OFFICE USE ONLY		6 6		
	RECEIPT # AMOUN	TAPFLYING HP	HOUDT.	MAG ILIDA	R.